

RE: Revised Draft Script and FAQs

Korleski, Christopher

Fri 12/09/2016 09:43 AM

To: Stacy Jones <sjones@idem.in.gov>;

Cc: Rowan, Anne <rowan.anne@epa.gov>; Cassell, Peter <cassell.peter@epa.gov>; Bair, Rita <bair.rita@epa.gov>; Deltoral, Miguel <deltoral.miguel@epa.gov>;

Categories: Red Category

Thanks, Stacy – Appreciate it.

From: JONES, STACY [<mailto:SJONES@idem.IN.gov>]
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2016 8:33 AM
To: Korleski, Christopher <korleski.christopher@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Revised Draft Script and FAQs

Chris,

I found a couple grammatical errors and a consistency issue in the FAQ.

Stacy Jones
Regulatory Implementation Specialist
Drinking Water Branch
Indiana Department of Environmental Management
100 N Senate Ave (66-34)
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 234-7454
(317) 234-7436 (fax)
sjones@idem.IN.gov

From: Korleski, Christopher [<mailto:korleski.christopher@epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2016 10:33 PM
To: CLARK METTLER, MARTHA; JONES, STACY; Prater, Matthew; Arango, Courtney; Snemis, Donald (IDEM); 'Crowley Engineering'; 'Carla Morgan'; Hollingsworth, Mary; philip@crowleyengineering.com
Cc: Rowan, Anne; Cassell, Peter; Henry, Timothy; Poy, Thomas; Bair, Rita; Deltoral, Miguel; Porter, Andrea; Bosscher, Valerie; Maraldo, Dean; Mendez, Thomas; Shoven, Heather; Donnelly, Peggy; Deamer, Eileen; Kaplan, Robert; Grantham, Nancy; Bassler, Rachel
Subject: Revised Draft Script and FAQs

**** This is an EXTERNAL email. Exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email. ****

Hello IDEM and East Chicago Colleagues:

In accordance with our discussion earlier today, I am attaching for your review:

1. A revised draft script. If you review it in "all mark-up" format, you will see that I have tried to show EC's and IDEM's proposed revisions and how EPA responded to them.
2. A revised draft FAQ. Anne and her team developed two versions. The first document is our attempt to reconcile all comments. Many revisions have been incorporated; but not all suggestions were accepted. The second document shows the marked-up version of Region 5's original draft with comments from the city and IDEM.

We appreciate your getting your written proposals and comments to us earlier today, and we look forward to your comments on these latest drafts.

Finally, please note that we may be getting some additional comments from our national program office.

Thanks.

Chris